Key Metrics: 2019 Stormwater Utility Fee & Management Survey

Analysis current as of February 6, 2020

1. Municipality Information

3.

4.

a. Survey Datasets				
	i.	2019 Dataset	73 Cities	
	ii.	2017 Dataset	15 Cities	
	iii.	No Data	35 Cities	
	iv.	Completion Rate	72%	
b.	MS4 Status			
	i.	Regulated	47 Cities	
	ii.	Non-Regulated	76 Cities	
	iii.	Total	123 Cities	
	_			

2. <u>Stormwater Program Funding & Staff</u>

a.	Estimated	Annual	Stormwater	Operating	Budget
----	-----------	--------	------------	-----------	--------

	i.	Average	\$749,616 per Year	
	ii.	Average Without Des Moines	\$560,290 per Year	
	iii.	Minimum	\$4,000 per Year	
	iv.	Maximum	\$16,085,00 Per Year	
b.	Estima	ated Annual CIP for Stormwater Program		
	i.	Average	\$889,099 per Year	
	ii.	Average Without Des Moines	\$358,525 per Year	
	iii.	Minimum	\$0 per Year	
	iv.	Maximum	\$43,335,000 per Year	
с.	Full Ti	me Equivalent Stormwater Staff		
	i.	Average	1.5 FTE	
	ii.	Average Without Des Moines	1.1 FTE	
d.	Funding			
	i.	Stormwater Utility Fee	74 / 79 Cities (94%)	
	ii.	General Fund	9 / 79 Cities (11%)	
	iii.	Combination of Fee and General Fund	6 / 79 Cities (8%)	
<u>Storm</u>	water l	Jtility Fee Metric		
a.	Fee Ca	alculation Tool		
	i.	Flat Rate Counts	44 / 79 Cities (56%)	
	ii.	ERU Counts	33 / 79 Cities (42%)	
<u>Storm</u>	water l	Jtility Fee Applications		
a.	a. Residential Single Family			
	i.	Flat Rate Average (Base Fee)	\$4.00	
	ii.	Flat Rate Minimum	\$1.00	
	iii.	Flat Rate Maximum	\$12.40	

	iv. ERU Average (Base Fee)		\$4.44			
	v. ERU Minimum		\$2.00			
	vi. ERU Maximum		\$7.83			
b.	Residential Multi-Family					
	i. Flat Rate Average (Base F	ee)	\$4.64			
	ii. Flat Rate Minimum		\$1.00			
	iii. Flat Rate Maximum		\$18.54			
	iv. ERU Average (Base Fee)		\$4.91			
	v. ERU Minimum		\$2.00			
	vi. ERU Maximum		\$18.54			
с.	Commercial and Industrial					
	i. Flat Rate Average (Base F	ee)	\$5.48			
	ii. Flat Rate Minimum		\$0.00			
	iii. Flat Rate Maximum		\$18.54			
	iv. ERU Average (Base Fee)		\$5.34			
	v. ERU Minimum		\$2.00			
	vi. ERU Maximum		\$18.54			
d.	Applied ERU Square Foot Imperv	ious Surface Area				
	i. Average		4,227 SF			
e.	Exemptions					
	i. Exemptions are Offered		9 / 73 Cities (12%)			
Local (<u>Drdinances</u>					
a.	All Cities					
	i. Management of Stormwa	iter Infrastructure?	54 / 58 Cities (93%)			
	ii. Construction Site Erosion	and Sediment Control	37 / 58 Cities (64%)			
	iii. Post Construction Stormy	vater Management	33 / 58 Cities (57%)			
	iv. Illicit Discharge Detection	and Elimination	35 / 58 Cities (60%)			
b.	Non-Regulated Cities Only					
	i. Management of Stormwa	iter Infrastructure?	25 / 29 Cities (86%)			
	ii. Construction Site Erosion	and Sediment Control	8 / 29 Cities (28%)			
	iii. Post Construction Stormy	vater Management	6 / 29 Cities (21%)			
	iv. Illicit Discharge Detection	and Elimination	6 / 29 Cities (21%)			
Design						
a.	ISWIVIN		20/400000000000000000000000000000000000			
	I. Entire Sample		20 / 46 Cities (43%)			
	II. Uniy MS4s		18 / 29 Cities (62%)			
	III. Unly Non-Regulated Citle	S	2 / 30 Cities (7%)			
h						
υ.	i Entiro Sampla		28 / 16 Cition (020/)			
	ii Only MS4c		30 / 40 CILLES (03%) 32 / 10 Citize (70%)			
	iii Only Non-Pogulated Citie	c	23 / 13 Cities (73%) 15 / 20 Cities (60%)			
	m. Only Non-Regulated Citle	5	13 / 30 Cities (30%)			

5.

6.

7.	Treatment of 24-Hour Storm Events by New Development						
	a.	Application of Design Standard	33 / 58 Cities (57%)				
	b.	Water Quality Volume, 90 Th Percentile	12 / 33 Cities (36%)				
	с.	Channel Protection Volume, 2-Year	8 / 33 Cities (24%)				
	d.	Overbank Protection, 5-Year Peak Discharge	15 / 33 Cities (45%)				
	e.	Extreme Flood Protection, 100-Year	24 / 33 Cities (75%)				
8.	Payme	ents in Lieu for Management of 24-Hour Storm Events					
	a.	Acceptance of Payments	5 / 58 Cities (9%)				
	b.	Water Quality Volume, 90 Th Percentile	4 / 5 Cities (80%)				
	с.	Channel Protection Volume, 2-Year	3 / 5 Cities (60%)				
	d.	Overbank Protection, 5-Year Peak Discharge	3 / 5 Cities (60%)				
	e.	Extreme Flood Protection, 100-Year	3 / 5 Cities (60%)				
9.	Reten	tion or Detention Regulations on New Developments					
	a.	Requirements for Retention or Detention	38 / 57 Cities (67%)				
	b.	Water Quality Volume of 1.25"	15 / 57 Cities (26%)				
	с.	Min. of 0.2" Per Acre on Sites with <15% Imp. Cover	2 / 57 Cities (4%)				
	d.	Post Developed 100-Year at Pre-Developed 5 Year	19 / 57 Cities (33%)				
	e.	Post Developed 100-Year at Pre-Developed 2 Year	4 / 57 Cities (7%)				
	f.	Runoff Achieve x% of Predevelopment Volume	1 / 57 Cities (2%)				
		i. x%	1%				
	g.	Retain y" from 24-Hour Event Preceded by 48 Dry	1 / 57 Cities (2%)				
		i. y inches	2″				
	h.	Policy Applies to Redevelopment Projects	32 / 39 Cities (82%)				
10	10. <u>Runoff Credit / Rebate / Grant Program</u>						
	a.	Credit Program for Reducing Overall Annual Fee	8 / 57 Cities (14%)				
	b.	Cost Share, Rebate, or Grant Program	9 / 57 Cities (16%)				
	с.	Range of Maximum Rebate Percentage	25% - 75%				
11. <u>BMP Cost Share Program</u>							
	a. Lities with Lost Share Programs 1/ / 5/ Cities (30%)						
	D.	i Nativo Turf / Landscaping	12 / 17 (itios (719/)				
		i. Native run / Lanuscaping	12 / 17 Cities (71%)				
		II. Rain Garuens	15 / 17 Cities (88%)				
		III. Kain Barreis / Cisterns	1/ / 1/ Citles (100%)				
		IV. BIOSWalles	13 / 17 Citles (76%)				
		v. Bioretention Cells	13 / 1 / Utiles (/b%)				
		vi. Soli Quality Restoration	15 / 1 / Utiles (88%)				
			11 / 1 / UITIES (65%)				
		VIII. Green Koots	9 / 1 / Lities (53%)				

12. Stormwater Priorities (n=47)

a.	Drainage Problems			
	i.	Avera	ge	2.85 (1 st)
	ii.	Min		1
	iii.	Max		7
b.	Fundir	ng		
	i.	Avera	ge	3.04 (2 nd)
	ii.	Min		1
	iii.	Max		8
с.	c. Flash Flooding			
	i.	Avera	ge	3.26 (3 rd)
	ii.	Min		1
	iii.	Max		7
d.	Workl	oad (Sta	aff Spread Too Thin)	
	i.	Avera	ge	4.21 (4 th)
	ii.	Min		1
	iii.	Max		8
e.	e. Community Education, Outreach, and Engagement			
	i. 	Avera	ge	5.00 (5")
		Min		1
~		Max		8
t.	Extren	ne Floo	aing	5 40 (cth)
	۱. 	Avera	ge	5.19 (6")
		IVIIN		1
~	. \\/atom		. Drohloma	8
g.	water	Quality		E 70 (7th)
	ı. ;;	Avera	ge	5.70 (7°) 1
		Max		1
h	ni. Othor	IVIAX		0
	i	Δvera	26	6 79 (8 th)
	ii	Comm	jents	0.75 (0)
		1.	"Stormwater runoff management in new developm	ent re-development
			construction."	
		2.	"Compliance by developers and property owners o	f stormwater ordinances."
		3.	"Construction related compliance issues."	
		4. 5	"Program development is challenging. This is tied to	o staff workload "

- 6. "Undersized infrastructure."
- 7. "Building a stormwater program without an MS4 designation."
- 8. "I would rate the most challenging on Awareness overall and training for city staff. Our city has not had flooding in a very long time. We have only had one complaint on drainage since I have been here in over 7 years. There might be funding that I am not aware of. There have been very minimal water quality problems in 7 years. Staff are always spread too thin in a small town."